| 1 | Alan M. Fisch (<i>pro hac vice</i>) | Leo R. Beus (pro hac vice) |
|-----|--|--|
| 2 | alan.fisch@fischllp.com | Michael K. Kelly (pro hac vice) |
| 2 | R. William Sigler (pro hac vice) | Daniel J. Anderson (pro hac vice) |
| 3 | bill.sigler@fischllp.com | BEUS GILBERT PLLC |
| J | FISCH SIGLER LLP | Attorneys at Law |
| 4 | 5301 Wisconsin Avenue NW | 701 North 44th Street |
| | Suite 400 | Phoenix, Arizona 85008-6504 |
| 5 | Washington, DC 20015 | Telephone: (480) 429-3000 |
| 6 | Tel: 202.362.3500 | Facsimile: (480) 429-3001 |
| O | Fax: 202.362.3501 | Email: lbeus@beusgilbert.com |
| 7 | | mkelly@beusgilbert.com |
| | Ken K. Fung (SBN: 283854) | danderson@beusgilbert.com |
| 8 | ken.fung@fischllp.com | |
| | FISCH SIGLER LLP | Allan Steyer (SBN: 100318) |
| 9 | 400 Concar Drive | Suneel Jain (SBN: 314558) |
| 10 | San Mateo, CA 94402 | STEYER LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS |
| | Tel: 650.362.8207 | ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP |
| 11 | Fax: 202.362.3501 | 235 Pine Street, 15th Floor |
| | | San Francisco, California 94104 |
| 12 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | Telephone: (415) 421-3400 |
| 10 | Juniper Networks, Inc. | Facsimile: (415) 421-2234 |
| 13 | and Apstra, Inc. | Email: asteyer@steyerlaw.com |
| 14 | | sjain@steyerlaw.com |
| 11 | | |
| 15 | | Attorneys for Defendant |
| 1.0 | | Swarm Technology LLC |
| 16 | | TEC DICTRICE COLUMN |
| 17 | | TES DISTRICT COURT |
| | | STRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 18 | SAN FRAI | NCISCO DIVISION |
| 19 | HINIDED METWODIC INC and | Cose No. 2:20 ov 2127 ID |
| 1) | JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. and | Case No. 3:20-cv-3137-JD |
| 20 | APSTRA, INC., | |
| | DI 1 .100 | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] |
| 21 | Plaintiff, | ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO |
| 22 | | FILE AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS |
| 22 | V. | |
| 23 | | |
| | SWARM TECHNOLOGY LLC, | |
| 24 | | Location: Courtroom 11, 19 th Floor |
| 25 | Defendant. | Judge: Hon. James Donato |
| 23 | | |
| 26 | | |
| | | _ |
| 27 | CTIDI II ATION TO EVTEND | CASE NO. 2.20 CM 2127 ID |
| 28 | STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO FILE | CASE NO. 3:20-CV-3137-JD |
| 20 | AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS | |
| | 1 | |

Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2(a) and 7-12, Plaintiff Juniper Networks, Inc. and Apstra, Inc., (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendant Swarm Technology LLC ("Swarm") hereby stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, to extend the Court's August 15, 2022 deadline for Swarm to file amended counterclaims as set forth in this Court's Order dated August 1, 2022 (Document No. 92).

Swarm seeks an extension of the current deadline of August 15, 2022 until fourteen (14) days following a final ruling on Swarm's Motion for Leave to File a First Amended Complaint ("Motion") currently pending in the District of Arizona in *Swarm Technology LLC v. Amazon.com Incorporated, et al.*, Case 2:21-cv-00438-DWL ("*Swarm v. Amazon*"). On August 2, 2022, the Arizona Court scheduled a hearing on the Motion for August 16, 2022. The Arizona Court also stated that it would issue a tentative ruling on that Motion before the hearing. *See* Dkt. No. 94 in *Swarm v. Amazon*. Swarm seeks this extension because the Arizona Court's ruling will influence Swarm's actions here regarding its counterclaims and will potentially conserve judicial and party resources.

Plaintiffs have agreed to Swarm's requested extension.

Upon notification of the final ruling from the Arizona Court, the parties will advise this Court of the new due date for Swarm's amended counterclaims.

No other deadlines will be impacted by this extension. The parties have previously stipulated to two extensions for Swarm to respond to the original complaint (Dkt. Nos. 16-17), an extension for Juniper to respond to Swarm's original motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 21), an extension for Plaintiffs to respond to Swarm's motion to dismiss the amended complaint, for Swarm to file its reply in support of its motion to dismiss, and the hearing on Swarm's motion to dismiss (Dkt. Nos. 41-42), an extension for Plaintiffs to respond to Swarm's counterclaims (Dkt. Nos. 67-68), and an extension for Plaintiffs to file their reply to Swarm's opposition to Plaintiffs' motion to dismiss Swarm's counterclaims (77, 81).

| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | Dated: August 4, 2022 R | espectfully submitted, |
| 3 | n n | /-/ Mi-l IV V-II- |
| 4 | D | y <u>/s/ Michael K. Kelly</u> |
| 5 | В | EUS GILBERT McGRODER PLLC |
| 6 | | Leo R. Beus Michael K. Kelly Christine N. Jones |
| 7 | | Daniel J. Anderson |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | TEYER LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS |
| 10 | | ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP Allan Steyer |
| 11 | | Suneel Jain |
| 12 | A | ttorneys for Defendant Swarm Technology LLC |
| 13 | T. C. | |
| 14 | | y /s/ Ken K. Fung |
| 15 | K | ISCH SIGLER LLP Sen. K. Fung |
| 16 | J_{i} | ttorneys for Plaintiffs uniper Networks, Inc. and Apstra, Inc. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Filer's Attestation: I attest that counsel for the | ne parties have concurred in this filing. |
| 19 | /s/ Suneel Jain | |
| 20 | Suneel Jain | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u> | |
| 23 | I hereby certify that on August 4, 2022, I caused the foregoing document to be | |
| 24 | served via the Court's CM/ECF system on all counsel of record per Local Rule CV-5(5). | |
| 25 | | /s/ Suneel Jain |
| 26 | Suneel Jain | |
| 27 | STIPULATION TO EXTEND | 2 CASE NO. 3:20-CV-3137-JD |
| 28 | DEADLINE TO FILE AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS | |
| | | |

| 1 | PURSUANT TO STIPULATIO | N, IT IS SO ORDERED. |
|----|--|------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Dated: | JAMES DONATO |
| 5 | | United States District Judge |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | STIPULATION TO EXTEND | 3 CASE NO. 3:20-CV-3137-JD |
| 28 | STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO FILE AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS | |